

[REDACTED]

n/a

Case Number: S200200010

RELEASED IN FULL

[REDACTED]

PAGE 01 LIMA 10482 052240Z  
ACTION ARA-00

INFO	LOG-00	COPY-01	ADS-00	AID-00	INR-10	SS-00	OIC-02
	CIAE-00	DODE-00	H-01	IO-19	NSCE-00	NSAE-00	HA-09
	L-03	TRSE-00	PM-10	PA-01	OMB-01	USIE-00	SP-02
	SNP-01	PRS-01	DS-01	DSE-00	SCT-02	/064 W	
					-----055070	052351Z	/70

P 052223Z SEP 86  
 FM AMEMBASSY LIMA  
 TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4127  
 INFO AMEMBASSY BOGOTA  
 AMEMBASSY LA PAZ  
 AMEMBASSY QUITO  
 USAFSO HOWARD AFB PM  
 USCINCSO QUARRY HTS PM

[REDACTED] LIMA 10482

E.O. 12356: DECL: OADR  
 TAGS: PHUM, PTER, PGOV, PE  
 SUBJECT: PERUVIAN SUPREME COURT ORDERS MILITARY COURT  
 TO TRY PRISON EXECUTIONS CASE

REF: LIMA 9970

1. (U) PERU'S SUPREME COURT RULED AUGUST 28 THAT  
 THE TRIALS OF ARMY GENERAL RABANAL AND THE 40-ODD  
 POLICEMEN ACCUSED OF SOME 100 SUMMARY EXECUTIONS  
 IN JUNE PRISON RIOTS BE HELD IN MILITARY JUSTICE  
 SYSTEM.

2. [REDACTED] LOCAL PRESS GAVE DECISION SCANT COVERAGE.  
 LOCAL HUMAN RIGHTS GROUPS, HOWEVER, EXPRESSED  
 DEEP DISAPPOINTMENT OVER DECISION TO POLOFF. THEY  
 ADMIT THAT LEGISLATION AND LEGAL PRECEDENTS ON THE  
 SUBJECT ARE UNCLEAR. THEY NOTE, HOWEVER, THAT THE  
 DECREE PLACING PRISONS UNDER MILITARY RULE (AN  
 [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]

PAGE 02 LIMA 10482 052240Z

IMPORTANT ELEMENT) WAS NOT PUBLISHED (AND THUS NOT

n/a

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
n/a

Case Number: S200200010

IN EFFECT) UNTIL AFTER THE PRISON RIOTS WERE OVER. A SECOND CONCERN IS THAT THE MILITARY JUSTICE CODE CONTAINS NO PROVISION FOR HOMICIDE. INJURIES TO OTHER PERSONS ARE SPECIFIED ONLY UNDER THE RUBRIC OF "ABUSE OF AUTHORITY," FOR WHICH THE MAXIMUM PENALTY IS A RELATIVELY SHORT (BUT UNSPECIFIED) PRISON TERM. HUMAN RIGHTS OFFICIALS WERE SKEPTICAL ABOUT RESULT OF AN "IN-HOUSE" TRIAL, COMPLAINING THAT OTHER MILITARY TRIALS OF ALLEGED HUMAN RIGHTS OFFENDERS HAVE GONE NOWHERE.

3. [REDACTED] COMMENT: THE ARMED FORCES ARE VERY SENSITIVE TO IDEA OF CIVILIAN COURT TRIALS OF MILITARY PERSONNEL. WHEN THE SUPREME COURT RULED IN FEBRUARY THAT A NAVAL OFFICER STAND TRIAL IN A CIVILIAN COURT, THE OFFICER DISAPPEARED, A MOVE WIDELY BELIEVED TO HAVE BEEN CARRIED OUT BY THE NAVY ITSELF. THE SUPREME COURT DECISION THUS AVOIDS ANOTHER POTENTIAL CONFRONTATION BETWEEN CIVILIAN AND MILITARY AUTHORITIES. APART FROM THE SUPREME COURT RULING'S LEGAL MERITS, IT WILL HAVE THE DOWNSIDE OF APPEARING TO WEAKEN THE CREDIBILITY OF PRESIDENT GARCIA'S PROMISE TO INVESTIGATE AND PUNISH THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PRISON EXECUTIONS. YOULE

[REDACTED]

NNNN

[REDACTED]  
n/a